

## **Dan Wallach Interview—Annotations.**

Your mission, should you choose to accept it, is to write one to two thousand words on each of the following three topics.

**1. Passage-specific category:** Using the following sections, discuss “ethics/politics of information technology” in one or more of these passages (approximately): 412-424, 468-590, 500-526, 712-734, 762-775, 859-891, 929-968, 972-992, 1010-1068, 1352-1374, 1423-1439, 1643-1685, 1753-1790, 1820-1923, 2232-2284, 2381-2349.

**2. Philology category:** provide an analysis or a background explanation/historicization of any word or concept in the transcripts. Suggestions: Hacker, regulatory capture, research, security, threat model, gift economy, reputation, community, transparency, activism.

**3. Concept-specific category:** write about one or more of the following, separately or in combination.

Pedagogy; contradictions; security vs. transparency; open vs. closed; auto-ethnography; clues; guilds and secrets; voters and consumers; publics, users and vendors; threat models and definitions of security; paper; watching your words; expert knowledge; broken processes; affiliation and reputation; academic freedom and trade secrecy; conspiracy, non-partisanship and believability; teaching ethics;

There is no need to quote the transcript at length in the piece, instead, tie your discussions to particular line numbers. Remember that these are short annotations, not complex arguments (that's the final step, when we try to write a paper based on the transcripts and the annotations).